CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512



August 31, 2001

Interested Persons Regarding
The Proposed Modifications to the Rules of Practice
and Procedure & Power Plant Site Certification
Regulations Rulemaking

Dear Sir or Madame:

On August 29, 2001, staff docketed the enclosed staff report regarding the Rulemaking (01-SIT-1) to review and revise the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure & Power Plant Site Certification Regulations (i.e., Siting Regulations). The staff report and cover letter indicated that the Siting Committee had scheduled a business meeting item for September 12, 2001, to request the Commission to provide guidance on what modifications to the siting regulations should be pursued through a formal rulemaking with the State Office of Administrative Law (OAL). Subsequent to the docketing the staff report, the business meeting item has been rescheduled for the September 19, 2001 business meeting.

The contents of the staff report and staff's recommendations have not changed. If you have any questions or comments, please call me at (916) 653-1614, or e-mail me at rbuell@energy.state.ca.us.

Richard K. Buell

Senior Project Manager

Enclosure

RKB:rkb

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512



August 29, 2001

Interested Persons Regarding
The Proposed Modifications to the Rules of Practice
and Procedure & Power Plant Site Certification
Regulations Rulemaking

Dear Sir or Madame:

On June 27, 2001, the California Energy Commission (Commission) adopted an Order Instituting Rulemaking (01-SIT-1) to review and revise the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure & Power Plant Site Certification Regulations (i.e., Siting Regulations), pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25541. The Siting Committee has been unable to reach a consensus on modifications to the Siting Regulations, specifically, California Code of Regulations, Title. 20, sections 1207, 1212, 1710, 1712, and 1714.5.

The Siting Committee has scheduled a business meeting item for September 12, 2001 September 19, 2001, to request the Commission to provide guidance on what modifications to the siting regulations should be pursued through a formal rulemaking with the State Office of Administrative Law (OAL). The out-come of the September 12, 2001 September 19, 2001 business meeting will not be the adoption of modifications to the regulations, but the suggestions of the Commission on what modifications to pursue.

The purpose of the enclosed staff paper is to provide the Commission with background information on the Siting Committee's rulemaking, to identify the alternative modifications under consideration for this rulemaking, and to provide staff's recommendations.

If you have any questions or comments on the enclosed staff paper, please call Richard K. Buell at (916) 653-1614, or email him at rbuell@energy.state.ca.us.

Sincerely,

ROBERT L. THERKELSEN, Deputy Director for System Assessment & Facilities Siting Division

Enclosure

RLT:RKB:rkb

STAFF REPORT

ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE & POWER PLANT SITE CERTIFICATION **REGULATIONS RULEMAKING**

(01-SIT-1)

August 29, 2001

Richard K. Buell, Energy Commission Senior Project Manager Roger Johnson, Manager

Siting Office

Robert L. Therkelsen, Deputy Director For

System Assessments and Facilities Siting Division

TABLE OF CONTENTS

!	age No.
INTRODUCTION	3
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	3
COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE INITIAL DRAFT MODIFICATIONS	4
ALTERNATIVE MODIFICATIONS	5
SECTION 1207, Intervenors	5
SECTION 1212, Rules Of Evidence	5
SECTION 1710, Noticing Procedures	7
SECTION 1712, Rights To Become A Party	7
SECTION 1714.5, Agency Comments on an Application; Purpose and Scope	7
STAFF RECOMENDATIONS	8
ATTACHMENT A - Uncontested Modifications	
ATTACHMENT B - Modifications Proposed By Commission Robert A. Laurie	17
ATTACHMENT C - Modifications Proposed By Commissioner Robert Pernell	22
ATTACHMENT D - Modifications Proposed By Staff	25

STAFF REPORT ON 01-SIT-1

INTRODUCTION

On June 27, 2001, the California Energy Commission (Commission) adopted an Order Instituting Rulemaking (01-SIT-1) to review and revise the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure & Power Plant Site Certification Regulations (i.e., Siting Regulations) pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25541. The Siting Committee has been unable to reach a consensus on modifications to the Siting Regulations, specifically, Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, §§ 1207, 1212, 1710, 1712, and 1714.5. Consequently, the Siting Committee has scheduled a business meeting item for September 12, 2001 September 19, 2001, to request the Commission to provide guidance on what modifications should be pursued through a formal rulemaking with the state Office of Administrative Law (OAL). The purpose of this staff paper is to provide the Commission background information on the Siting Committee's Rulemaking, to identify the alternative modifications under consideration for this rulemaking, and to provide staff's recommendations. The out-come of the September 12, 2001 September 19, 2001 business meeting will not be the adoption of modifications to the regulations.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On June 26, the Siting Committee published the Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. The initial draft regulations address modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, §§ 1212, 1710, 1712, 1714.5, 1741, 1748, 1751, 1752 and 1755. These sections address the rules of evidence, noticing procedures, rights to become a party, agency comments, the basis for the presiding member's proposed decision and demand conformance. On July 13, 2001, staff filed comments on the initial draft modifications to the siting regulations, which included additional modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1718 regarding prehearing meetings, § 1940 regarding notice of application, § 1945 regarding final argument and decision and § 2021 regarding the purpose of expedited proceedings.

On July 23, 2001, the Siting Committee conducted a workshop to receive comments on the initial draft regulations. Comments were received both orally at the workshop and in writing on the initial draft modifications to the siting regulations and on staff's proposal.

Normally the committee responsible for a rulemaking would agree on proposed regulations and proceed with the formal rulemaking prior to presenting the proposed regulations to the Commission for its consideration. However, in this instance the Siting Committee has been unable to agree on the proposed modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, §§ 1212, 1710, 1712, and 1714.5. In addition, the topics addressed by these sections of the siting regulations are potentially controversial, based on the comments received on the initial draft modifications. Consequently, the Siting Committee has directed staff to schedule a business meeting to request the Commission's guidance on modifications to the regulations to be pursued through a formal rulemaking procedure with OAL.

Table 1 shows the tentative schedule for this rulemaking. The tentative schedule shows a Commission business meeting on the regulations on December 5, 2001. The tentative schedule also shows publication of "15-Day Language" and a subsequent business meeting to adopt the regulations. These latter events will only be necessary if the Commission modifies the proposed regulation changes at the December 5, 2001 business meeting. If the Commission adopts the regulations at the December 5, 2001 business meeting, the effective date of the regulations would be approximately 30 days sooner than shown.

TABLE 1
Tentative Schedule For OIR on Siting Regulations (01-SIT-1)

DATE	EVENT
12-Sep-01	Business Meeting on Alternative Draft Regulations.
<u>19-Sep-01</u>	FILE 400 N (
5-Oct-01	File Form 400, Notice of Proposed Action (NOPA), Initial Statement of Reasons (ISOR) & Express Terms to OAL
17-Oct-01	OAL publishes NOPA in the Z Register
00.0.	enterplantation to the transfer of the past of the pas
17-Oct-01	Public Comment Period begins
17-Oct-01	Commission submits NOPA to interested persons or parties affected by the
	proposed regulations.
5-Dec-01	Public Comment Period ends
5-Dec-01	Commission Hearing on Proposed Regulations
14-Dec-01	Publish 15 Day Language changes
2-Jan-02	Commission Business Meeting to Consider Regulations
29-Jan-02	Commission files Rulemaking Files with OAL
7-Mar-02	OAL approves Regulations
10-Apr-02	Regulations become effective

COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE INITIAL DRAFT MODIFICATIONS

Written and oral comments were received from approximately 30 parties. Written comments have been docketed. The Public Advisor, Roberta Mendonca has prepared a summary of the comments, which has been docketed and distributed to the Commissioners for their consideration. Comments critical of the initial draft modifications to the siting regulations primarily focused on Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1212 regarding the rules of evidence, §1710 regarding noticing procedures, §1712 regarding the rights of parties, and 1714.5, regarding agency comments. Generally, parties opposed these initial draft modifications to the siting regulations, although some parties agreed with the modifications (e.g., the Independent Energy Producers Association and the Sacramento Municipal Utility District). The opposing parties argued that the Commission was attempting to limit public and intervenor participation in the siting cases when decisions on the State's energy systems were being made that had long-term implications for the State's social and environmental wellbeing.

4

Attachment A contains those initial draft modifications to the siting regulations that "appear" to be uncontested by any party or commentor. These include modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, §§ 1741, 1748, 1751, 1752 and 1755. Staff has also included in Attachment A its proposed modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, §§ 1940, 1945 and 2021, since these also appear to be uncontested by any party or commentor. The uncontested modifications eliminate references to demand conformance findings the Commission no longer needs to make on an application, correct minor errors in the regulations, and extend the regulations on the six-month process to repowering projects.

ALTERNATIVE MODIFICATIONS

Attachment B contains modifications proposed by Commissioner Robert A. Laurie, Attachment C contains modifications proposed by Commissioner Robert Pernell, and Attachment D contains modifications proposed by staff. Table 2 summarizes proposed modifications.

SECTION 1207, Intervenors

Commissioner Laurie is proposing modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1207 that would provide that any person whose petition to intervene is granted shall have all the rights and duties of a party under these regulations. This proposed modification was not contained in the June 26, 2001 Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. Commissioner Pernell did not comment on this section, since this proposal was not contained in the June 26, 2001 Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. Staff opposes the modification since intervenors' rights are already addressed in Section 1712, and the addition to Section 1207 does not add any clarification. Therefore, staff recommends that changes to Section 1207 not be made.

SECTION 1212, Rules Of Evidence

Commissioner Laurie is proposing modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1212 to add language that the rights of parties to call witnesses and present testimony are subject to the exercise of the lawful discretion of the presiding committee member. Commissioner Laurie has revised the proposed modification to this section, as compared to what was contained in the June 26, 2001 Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. Commissioner Pernell opposed the modification contained in the initial draft, and has recommended that no changes to Section 1212 be made. Although staff believes Commissioner Laurie's proposed modifications to Section 1212 address many of the concerns raised by commentors, we do not believe that the proposed changes are necessary and do not add any clarifications to this section. Therefore, staff recommends that changes to Section 1212 not be made.

	TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVE MODIFICATIONS					
Section	LAURIE'S PROPOSAL	PERNELL'S PROPOSALS	STAFF'S PROPOSAL			
1207	(c) The presiding member may grant leave to intervene to any petitioner to the extent he deems reasonable and relevant, but and may grant a petition to intervene filed after the deadline provided in subdivision (b) only upon a showing of good cause by the petitioner. Any person whose petition is granted by the presiding member shall have all the rights and duties of a party under these regulations.	No position provided, since this section was not modified in the June 26, Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations.	Opposed			
1212	(c) Subject to the exercise of the lawful discretion of the presiding committee member as set forth in Section 1203(c), eEach party shall have the right to call and examine witnesses, to introduce exhibits, to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matters the presiding committee member deems relevant to the issues in the proceeding, and to rebut evidence against such party.	Opposed	Opposed			
1710	(a) All hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits shall be open to the public and noticed as required by law; provided, however, these requirements do not apply to communications between parties, including staff, for the purpose of exchanging information or discussing procedural issues.	Opposed	(a) All committee and commission hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits shall be publicly noticed and open to the public. All other meetings with the staff shall also be noticed and open to the public, except as provided in subsection (h).			
	(h) Nothing in this section shall prohibit an applicant from informally exchanging information or discussing procedural issues with the staff without a publicly noticed workshop.	(h) Nothing in this section shall prohibit an applicant from informally exchanging information or discussing procedural issues with the staff without a publicly noticed workshop. Staff shall not discuss or negotiate substantive changes to any siting project without public notice.	(h) Nothing in this section shall prohibit an applicant or other party from informally exchanging information or discussing procedural issues with the staff without a publicly noticed workshop. Staff may meet with any governmental agency for the purpose of discussing any matter related to the project without a publicly noticed workshop. In no event shall staff hold an unnoticed meeting with the applicant or another party, other than a governmental agency, to discuss or negotiate a settlement or resolution of one or more substantive issues, including substantive issues regarding data requests or responses.			
1712	Delete in its entirety.	Opposed	Opposed			
1714.5	(d) Comments and recommendations submitted to the commission pursuant to this section shall be given great deference by the commission staff so as to avoid a duplication of effort and resources. The commission shall adopt protocols for compliance with this subsection.	Opposed	Opposed			

6

August 29, 2001

SECTION 1710, Noticing Procedures

Commissioner Laurie is proposing modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1710 (a) to add language clarifying that meetings between parties to exchange information and discuss procedural issues need not be noticed. Commissioner Pernell is proposing modifications to Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1710 (h) to add language clarifying that meetings with staff shall not discuss or negotiate positions on substantive issues with an applicant or other party outside of publicly noticed meeting on any siting project (Attachment C). Both Commissioner Laurie and Commissioner Pernell have revised the proposed modification to this section, as compared to what was contained in the June 26, 2001 Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. Staff believes that both modifications proposed by the Commissioners are superior to those contained in the initial draft to the siting regulations. However, staff believes that neither version addresses whether meetings between staff and agencies need to be noticed. Staff also believes that the specific language proposed by either Commissioner does not provide sufficient clarity to the regulations. Therefore, staff is recommending alternative modifications to both Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1710 and § 1718 (see Attachment D).

SECTION 1712, Rights To Become A Party

Commissioner Laurie is proposing to delete Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1712 in its entirety. This deletion was not the proposal contained in the June 26, 2001 Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. Commissioner Pernell has opposed the proposal to modify Section 1712 contained in the Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations, and has recommended that no changes to Section 1712 be made. Staff believes that Commissioner Laurie's proposed deletion of Section 1712 is unnecessary and potentially contributes to confusion regarding the rights of parties, including those of the applicant and staff. Therefore staff opposes the deletion of Section 1712.

SECTION 1714.5, Agency Comments on an Application; Purpose and Scope

Commissioner Laurie is proposing to add Cal Code of Regs., tit. 20, § 1714.5 (d) to require the staff to give great deference to agency comments to avoid a duplication of effort and resources. Commissioner Laurie's proposed modifications for this section are different than those contained in the June 26, 2001 Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. Commissioner Pernell has opposed those proposed modifications, and has recommended that no changes to Section 1714.5 be made. Staff believes that Commissioner Laurie's proposal does address some of the concerns raised by staff in its July 13, 2001 comments in the Initial Draft Modifications to the Siting Regulations. However, staff believes that other sections of the siting regulations already address how staff is to consider and supplement agency comments (See Cal. Code of Regs., tit. 20, §§ 1742, 1743, and 1742.5). Based on these regulations, we believe that there is a clear intent in the existing siting regulations not to duplicate the analyses provided by agencies, unless this is necessary to ensure that a complete analysis of the powerplant application is provided. Staff has the obligation to coordinate and supplement the review of a powerplant application by agencies, and to ensure that a complete and legally defensible decision is reached on a case. Therefore, staff opposes Commissioner Laurie's proposed addition of section 1714.5 (d) since it is unnecessary

and potentially restricts staff's ability to meet its obligations as required under other sections of the regulations.

STAFF RECOMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Commission advise the Siting Committee to pursue the uncontested modifications to the siting regulations contained in Attachment A, and the modifications proposed by staff to Sections 1710 and 1718 contained in Attachment D.

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE & POWER PLANT SITE CERTIFICATION REGULATIONS RULEMAKING

ATTACHMENT A - Uncontested Modifications

August 16, 2001

Section 1741 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1741 Application Proceeding; Purpose and Objectives.

- (a) The purpose of an application proceeding is to ensure that any sites and related facilities certified provide a reliable supply of electrical energy at a level consistent with the need for such energy, and in a manner consistent with public health and safety, promotion of the general welfare, and protection of environmental quality.
- (b) The application proceeding shall be conducted in order to accomplish all of the following objectives:
- (1) To ensure that no facility is certified unless it is found to be in conformity with the 12-year forecast of electric demand adopted pursuant to Section 25309(b).
- (2) (1) To ensure that the applicant incorporates into the project all measures that can be shown to be feasible, reasonably necessary, and available to substantially lessen or avoid the project's significant adverse environmental effects, and to ensure that any facility which may cause a significant adverse environmental effect is certified only if the benefits of such facility outweigh its unavoidable adverse effects.
- (3) (2) To ensure that the applicant takes all measures that can be shown to be feasible, reasonably necessary, and available to comply with applicable governmental laws and standards; to ensure that any facility certified complies with applicable federal law; and to ensure that any facility which fails to comply with an applicable local or state law or standard is certified only if such facility is required for public convenience and necessity and there are not more prudent and feasible means of achieving such convenience and necessity
 - (4) (3) To ensure safe and reliable operation of the facility.

Section 1748 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1748 Hearings; Purposes; Burden of Proof.

- (a) The hearings shall be used to identify significant adverse impacts of the proposal on the environment which were not identified in proceedings on the notice of intention and shall assess the feasibility of measures to mitigate the adverse impacts. The applicant's environmental information and staff and agency assessments required by Section 1742 shall be presented.
- (b) The hearings shall consider whether the facilities can be constructed and operated safely and reliably and in compliance with applicable health and safety standards, and shall assess the need for and feasibility of modifications in the design,

construction, or operation of the facility or any other condition necessary to assure safe and reliable operation of the facilities. The applicant's safety and reliability information and staff and agency assessments required by Section 1743 shall be presented.

- (c) The hearings shall consider whether the facilities can be constructed and operated in compliance with other standards, ordinances, regulations and laws and land use plans applicable to the proposed site and related facility. The applicant's proposed compliance measures and the staff and agency assessments required by Section 1744 shall be presented. The determination of compliance required by Section 1744.5 shall also be presented.
- (d) The hearings shall consider whether the proposed facilities are in conformity with the level of electricity demand adopted pursuant to Section 25309(b) of the Public Resources Code. The applicant and staff shall both present evidence in support of their positions on this issue.
- (e) (d) Except where otherwise provided by law, the applicant shall have the burden of presenting sufficient substantial evidence to support the findings and conclusions required for certification of the site and related facility.
- (f) (e) The proponent of any additional condition, modification, or other provision relating to the manner in which the proposed facility should be designed, sited, and operated in order to protect environmental quality and ensure public health and safety shall have the burden of making a reasonable showing to support the need for and feasibility of the condition, modification, or provision. The presiding member may direct the applicant and/or staff to examine and present further evidence on the need for and feasibility of such modification or condition.
- (g) (f) Any party to the application proceeding shall be provided a reasonable opportunity to move to strike portions of prior testimony taken during the notice proceeding. Such motion may be based on incorrectness, irrelevance, or changed circumstances.

Section 1751 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1751 Presiding Member's proposed Decision; Basis.

- (a) The presiding member's proposed decision shall be based exclusively upon the <u>hearing record</u>, <u>including the</u> evidentiary record of the proceedings on the application.
- (b) The presiding member's proposed decision shall contain reasons supporting the decision and reference to the bases for each of the findings and conclusions in the decision.

Section 1752 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1752 Presiding Member's proposed Decision; Contents.

- (a) Whether and the circumstances under which the proposed facilities are in conformity with the 12-year forecast of statewide and service area electric power demands adopted pursuant to Section 25309(b) of the Public Resources Code.
 - (b) (a) The extent to which the proposed facilities are in compliance with:
- (1) Public health and safety standards, including any standards adopted by the commission:
 - (2) Applicable air and water quality standards; and
- (3) Any other applicable local, regional, stte, and federal standards, ordinances, regulations or laws.
- (c) (b) Necessary modifications, mitigation measures, conditions, or other specific provisions relating to the manner in which the proposed facilities are to be designed, sited, and operated in order to:
 - (1) Protect environmental quality;
 - (2) Assure safe and reliable operation of the facility; and
 - (3) Comply with applicable standards, ordinances, regulations or laws.
- (d) (c) Unless the commission finds that such provisions would result in greater adverse effect on the environment or would be infeasible, specific provisions to meet the objectives of the California Coastal Act, as may be specified in a report submitted by the California Coastal Commission pursuant to Section 30413(d) of the Public Resources Code, or to meet the requirements of Division 19 (commencing with § 29000) of the Public Resources Code or Title 7.2 (commencing with § 66600) of the Government Code as may be specified in the report submitted by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 66645 of the Government Code.
- (e) (d) With respect to controlling population density in areas surrounding the proposed facilities, proposed findings on each of the following:
- (1) Whether existing governmental land use restrictions are of a type necessary and sufficient to guarantee the maintenance of population levels and land use development over the lifetime of the facilities which will ensure the public health and safety;
- (2) Whether, in the case of a nuclear generating facility, the area and population density criteria specified by the United State Nuclear Regulatory Commission

for assuring public health and safety are sufficiently definitive for valid land use planning requirements; and

- (3) Whether the commission should require as a condition of certification that the applicant acquire, by grant or contract, the right to prohibit development of privately owned lands in areas surrounding the facilities in order to control population densities and to protect public health and safety.
- (f) (e) With respect to any facility to be located in the coastal zone or any other area with recreational, scenic, or historic value, proposed findings and conditions relating to the area that shall be acquired, established, and maintained by the applicant for public use and access; and with respect to any facility to be located along the coast or shoreline of any major body of water, proposed findings and conditions on the extent to which the proposed facility shall be set back from the shoreline to permit reasonable public use and to protect scenic and aesthetic values.
 - (g) (f) With respect to any of the following areas;
 - (1) State, regional, county or city parks;
 - (2) Wilderness, scenic, or natural reserves;
 - (3) Areas for wildlife protection, recreation or historic preservation;
 - (4) Natural preservation areas in existence as of January 7, 1975;
- (5) Estuaries in an essentially natural and undeveloped state; Findings and conclusions on whether the facility will be consistent with the primary land use of the area; whether the facility, after consideration of feasible mitigation measures, will avoid any substantial adverse environmental effects; and whether the approval of the public agency having ownership or control of the land has been obtained.
- (h) (g) With respect to any facility to be sited in a coastal zone location designated by the California Coastal Commission pursuant to Section 30413(b) of the Public Resources Code, or in a location designated by the Bay Conservation and Development Commission pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 66645 of the Government Code, findings on whether the approval of the public agency having ownership or control of the land has been obtained, and findings of the California Coastal Commission or the BCDC, respectively, on each of the following:
- (1) Whether the facility will be consistent with the primary land use of the area; and
- (2) Whether the facility, after consideration of feasible mitigation measures, will avoid any substantial adverse environmental effects;
 - (i) (h) Where a nuclear powered facility is proposed, findings on;

- (1) Whether and when the facility will require reprocessing of nuclear fuel rods or off-site storage of such fuel rods in order to provide continuous onsite fuel core reserve storage capacity; and
- (2) Whether and when facilities with adequate capacity to reprocess nuclear fuel rods, if such reprocessing is required, and facilities with adequate capacity to store such fuel, if such storage is approved by an authorized agency of the United States, are or will be in actual operation at the time the nuclear powered facility requires such reprocessing or storage.
- (j) (i) provisions for restoring the site as necessary to protect the environment, if the commission denies approval of the application.
- (k) (j) Findings on the extent to which the applicant has complied with the recommended minimum standards of efficiency for operation of the facility, approved pursuant to Section 25402(d) of the Public Resources Code.
- (1) (k) With respect to any facility which does not comply with an applicable state, local or regional standard, ordinance or law, findings and conclusions on whether the noncompliance can be corrected or eliminated; and if such noncompliance cannot be corrected, findings on both the following:
 - (1) Whether the facility is required for public convenience and necessity; and
- (2) Whether there are no more prudent and feasible means of achieving such public convenience and necessity.
 - (m) (l) Any other findings and conclusions relevant to the commission's decision.

Section 1755 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1755 Final Decision.

- (a) At the conclusion of the hearings under Section 1754, the commission shall adopt a final written decision in conformity with Public Resources Code Section 25523.
- (b) The decision shall not certify any facility considered in the proceeding unless the commission's findings pursuant to subsections (a), (fe), (gf), and (lk) of Section 1752 are all in the affirmative.
- (c) The commission shall not certify any site and related facilities for which one or more significant adverse environmental effects have been identified unless the commission makes both of the following findings:

- (1) With respect to matters within the authority of the commission, that changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effects identified in the proceeding.
- (2) With respect to matters not within the commission's authority but within the authority of another agency, that changes or alterations required to mitigate such effects have been adopted by such other agency, or can and should be adopted by such other agency.
- (d) If the commission cannot make both the findings required under subsection (c), then it may not certify the project unless it specifically finds both of the following:
- (1) That specific economic, social, or other considerations make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the application proceeding; and
- (2) That the benefits of the project outweigh the unavoidable significant adverse environmental effects that may be caused by the construction and operation of the facility.

Section 1940 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1940. Notice of Application.

- (a) Upon receipt of an application the executive director in conjunction with the public adviser shall immediately take action to cause notice of the application and its date of receipt to be published in the commission's next meeting agenda and distributed to the public at large.
- (b) The executive director shall transmit copies of the application to each member and ex officio member, the commission general counsel, the public adviser, the hearing officer, the Attorney General and all other persons who have requested in writing that a copy be provided.
- (c) The executive direct or shall also transmit copies of the application to all federal, state, regional, and local agencies which have an interest in the matter and shall request that these agencies submit their written comments and recommendations on the application. Such comments shall be filed with the executive director no later than the date of the first hearing held pursuant to Section 1942 1944 of these regulations.

Section 1945 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1945. Final Argument and Decision.

(a) After the hearings conducted pursuant to Section <u>1942-1944</u> of these regulations, the committee shall prepare a proposed decision on the application. The proposed decision shall be distributed to the members, ex officio members, general counsel, the public adviser, the applicant, all intervenors, and any other persons designated by the presiding member.

Section 2021 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 2021. purpose of expedited proceeding; Applicability of Regulations.

- (a) The purpose of a six-month application proceeding is to review and certify environmentally acceptable sites and related facilities as expeditiously as possible so as to ensure a reliable supply of electrical energy in a manner consistent with public health and safety, promotion of the general welfare, and protection of the environment. Toward that end, the commission shall give priority in review to applications that qualify for an expedited decision under this Article and demonstrate superiority with respect to environmental protection or efficiency in performance.
- (b) The provisions of this Article apply to all applications filed pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25550_and 25550.5, notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary in Chapters 1, 2, and 5. This Article changes the otherwise applicable deadline for a final decision on an application for certification and adjusts other procedural deadlines as appropriate. This Article does not modify any substantive or other procedural requirements applicable to an application proceeding.

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE & POWER PLANT SITE CERTIFICATION REGULATIONS RULEMAKING

ATTACHMENT B - Modifications Proposed By Commission Robert A. Laurie

August 16, 2001

Section 1207 Shall Be Amended To Read:

1207. Intervenors

- (a) Any person may file with the Docket Unit or the presiding committee member a petition to intervene in any proceeding. The petition shall set forth the grounds for the intervention, the position and interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, the extent to which the petitioner desires to participate in the proceedings, and the name, address, and telephone number of the petitioner.
- (b) In a power plant siting case, the petition shall be filed at least 30 days prior to the first hearing held pursuant to sections 1725,1748,or 1944 of this chapter, subject to the exception in subsection (c) below. The petitioner shall also serve the petition upon the Applicant.
- (c) The presiding member may grant leave to intervene to any petitioner to the extent he deems reasonable and relevant, <u>but and may grant a petition to intervene filed after the deadline provided in subdivision (b) only upon a showing of good cause by the petitioner. Any person whose petition is granted by the presiding member shall have all the rights and duties of a party under these regulations.</u>
- (d) Any petitioner who has been denied leave to intervene by the presiding m ember may appeal the decision to the full commission within fifteen (15)days of the denial. Failure to file a timely appeal will result in the presiding member's denial becoming the final action on the matter.
- (e) Any petitioner may with draw from any proceeding by filing a notice to such effect with the Docket Unit or presiding committee member.

Section 1212 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1212. Rules of Evidence

The following rules of evidence shall apply to any adjudicatory proceeding of the commission and in such other proceedings as the commission may determine by order.

- (a) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses. Any relevant noncumulative evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs.
 - (b) Oral or written testimony offered by any party shall be under oath.

- (c) Subject to the exercise of the lawful discretion of the presiding committee member as set forth in Section 1203(c), Eeach party shall have the right to call and examine witnesses, to introduce exhibits, to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matters the presiding committee member deems relevant to the issues in the proceeding, and to rebut evidence against such party.
- (d) Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objections in civil actions.

The presiding member may establish such additional rules as necessary for the orderly conduct of the proceeding.

Section 1710 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1710 Noticing Procedures; Setting of Hearings, Presentations, Conferences, Meetings, Workshops, and Site Visits.

- (a) All hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits shall be open to the public <u>and noticed as required by law; provided, however, these requirements do not apply to communications between parties, including staff, for the purpose of exchanging information or discussing procedural issues.</u>
- (b) Except for the hearing conducted pursuant to Section 1809(a) and the workshop pursuant to Section 1709.5(d), notice of the initial public hearing on a notice or application shall be mailed or otherwise delivered fourteen (14) days prior to the first such hearing to the applicant, intervenors, and to all persons who have requested notice in writing. Except for continued hearings, notice of each and every subsequent hearing, presentation, conference, meeting, workshop, or site visit shall to the extent possible be mailed at least fourteen (14) days in advance, and in no case less than ten (10) days in advance.
- (c) The public adviser shall be consulted in the scheduling of locations, times, and dates for all hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits so as to encourage maximum public participation.
- (d) Notice of hearings, conferences, and meetings shall be signed by a member of the committee or specific designee thereof.
- (e) The public adviser shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to review all notices of hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits for timeliness, completeness, clarity, and adequacy of dissemination.
- (f) Hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits may be continued from the date, time, and place originally scheduled to a future

date, time, and place, by posting notice at the door in the same manner as provided by Government Code section 11129. If the continuance is to a date ten days or more in the future, then notice shall also be provided by mail as provided in subdivision (b).

- (g) Hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits may be canceled for good reason, provided the following requirements are met:
- (1) A notice of cancellation shall be posted at the door in the same manner as provided by Government Code section 11129.
 - (2) A notice of cancellation shall be mailed as provided in subdivision (b).
- (3) If the notice of cancellation is mailed less than ten (10) days before the originally noticed date, then the staff shall work with the public adviser to ensure that notice is provided to all interested parties by the best means available.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall prohibit an applicant from informally exchanging information or discussing procedural issues with the staff without a publicly noticed workshop.

Section 1712 Shall Be Deleted:

Section 1712 Right to Become a party; rights and Duties.

- (a) Any person may petition to intervene pursuant to Section 1207 of these regulations. Any person whose petition is granted by the presiding member shall have all the rights and duties of a party under these regulations. No person who becomes a party shall be permitted to reopen matters or reopen discovery dealt with in the proceeding prior to the time when such person became a party, without a showing of good cause.
- (b) Each party shall have the right to present witnesses, to submit testimony and other evidence, to cross-examine other witnesses, to obtain information pursuant to Section 1716, and to file motions, petitions, objections, briefs, and other documents relevant to the proceeding Each party shall be provided with a copy of the notice or application.
- (c) Each party shall have the responsibility to comply with the requirements for filing and service of documents, the presentation of witnesses and evidence, and any other reasonable conditions which may be imposed by order of the presiding member.

Section 1714.5 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1714.5 Agency Comments on an Application; Purpose and Scope

- (a) Update as necessary the information requested or submitted by the agency during the notice proceedings;
- (b) Perform or conduct such analyses or studies as needed to resolve any significant concerns of the agency, or to satisfy any remaining substantive requirements for the issuance of a final permit by the agency which would have jurisdiction but for the commission's exclusive authority, or for the certification by the commission for the construction, operation, and use of the proposed site and related facilities; and
- (c) Submit to the commission, and upon request of the presiding member, present, explain, and defend in public hearings held on the application, the results of the agency's analyses, studies, or other review relevant to the application. The agency may submit comments and recommendations on any aspect of the application, including among other things, the design of the facility, architectural and aesthetic features of the facility, access to highways, landscaping and grading, public use of lands in the area, and other aspects of the design, construction, or operation of the proposed site and related facility.
- (d) Comments and recommendations submitted to the commission pursuant to this section shall be given great deference by the commission staff so as to avoid a duplication of effort and resources. The commission shall adopt protocols for compliance with this subsection.

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE & POWER PLANT SITE CERTIFICATION REGULATIONS RULEMAKING

ATTACHMENT C - Modifications Proposed By Commissioner Robert Pernell

August 16, 2001

Section 1207 Should Not Be Amended

Section 1212 Should Not Be Amended

Section 1710 Shall Be Amended To Read:

Section 1710 Noticing Procedures; Setting of Hearings, Presentations, Conferences, Meetings, Workshops, and Site Visits.

- (a) All hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits shall be open to the public.
- (b) Except for the hearing conducted pursuant to Section 1809(a) and the workshop pursuant to Section 1709.5(d), notice of the initial public hearing on a notice or application shall be mailed or otherwise delivered fourteen (14) days prior to the first such hearing to the applicant, intervenors, and to all persons who have requested notice in writing. Except for continued hearings, notice of each and every subsequent hearing, presentation, conference, meeting, workshop, or site visit shall to the extent possible be mailed at least fourteen (14) days in advance, and in no case less than ten (10) days in advance.
- (c) The public adviser shall be consulted in the scheduling of locations, times, and dates for all hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits so as to encourage maximum public participation.
- (d) Notice of hearings, conferences, and meetings shall be signed by a member of the committee or specific designee thereof.
- (e) The public adviser shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to review all notices of hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits for timeliness, completeness, clarity, and adequacy of dissemination.
- (f) Hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits may be continued from the date, time, and place originally scheduled to a future date, time, and place, by posting notice at the door in the same manner as provided by Government Code section 11129. If the continuance is to a date ten days or more in the future, then notice shall also be provided by mail as provided in subdivision (b).
- (g) Hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits may be canceled for good reason, provided the following requirements are met:
- (1) A notice of cancellation shall be posted at the door in the same manner as provided by Government Code section 11129.

- (2) A notice of cancellation shall be mailed as provided in subdivision (b).
- (3) If the notice of cancellation is mailed less than ten (10) days before the originally noticed date, then the staff shall work with the public adviser to ensure that notice is provided to all interested parties by the best means available.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall prohibit an applicant from informally exchanging information or discussing procedural issues with the staff without a publicly noticed workshop. Staff shall not discuss or negotiate substantive changes to any siting project without public notice.

Section 1714.5 Shound Not Be Amended

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE & POWER PLANT SITE CERTIFICATION REGULATIONS RULEMAKING

ATTACHMENT D - Modifications Proposed By Staff

August 16, 2001

MODIFICATIONS TO SITING REGULATIONS PROPOSED BY Staff

Section 1212 Should Not Be Amended

Section 1710 Shall Be Modified To Read:

Section 1710 Noticing Procedures; Setting of Hearings, Presentations, Conferences, Meetings, Workshops, and Site Visits.

- (a) All <u>committee and commission</u> hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits shall be <u>publicly noticed and</u> open to the public. <u>All other meetings with the staff shall also be noticed and open to the public, except as provided in subsection (h).</u>
- (b) Except for the hearing conducted pursuant to Section 1809(a) and the workshop pursuant to Section 1709.5(d), notice of the initial public hearing on a notice or application shall be mailed or otherwise delivered fourteen (14) days prior to the first such hearing to the applicant, intervenors, and to all persons who have requested notice in writing. Except for continued hearings, notices of each and every subsequent hearing, presentation, conference, meeting, workshop, or site visit shall, to the extent possible, be mailed at least fourteen (14) days in advance, and in no case less than ten (10) days in advance.
- (c) The public adviser shall be consulted in the scheduling of locations, times, and dates for all <u>noticed</u> hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits so as to encourage maximum public participation.
- (d) Notice of <u>committee sponsored</u> hearings, conferences, and meetings shall be signed by a member of the committee or specific designee thereof. <u>Notice of staff sponsored conferences</u>, workshops, or meetings shall be signed by the Executive Director or his or her designee.
- (e) The public adviser shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to review all notices of hearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits for timeliness, completeness, clarity, and adequacy of dissemination.
- (f) Publicly noticed Hhearings, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits may be continued from the date, time, and place originally scheduled to a future date, time, and place, by posting notice at the door in the same manner as provided by Government Code section 11129. If the continuance is to a date ten days or more in the future, then notice shall also be provided by mail as provided in subdivision (b).
- (g) <u>Publicly noticed Hhearings</u>, presentations, conferences, meetings, workshops, and site visits may be canceled for good reason, provided the following requirements are met:

MODIFICATIONS TO SITING REGULATIONS PROPOSED BY Staff

- (1) A notice of cancellation shall be posted at the door in the same manner as provided by Government Code section 11129.
 - (2) A notice of cancellation shall be mailed as provided in subdivision (b).
- (3) If the notice of cancellation is mailed less than ten (10) days before the originally noticed date, then the staff shall work with the public adviser to ensure that notice is provided to all interested parties by the best means available.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall prohibit an applicant <u>or other party</u> from informally exchanging information or discussing procedural issues with the staff without a publicly noticed workshop. <u>Staff may meet with any governmental agency for the purpose of discussing any matter related to the project without a publicly noticed workshop. In no event shall staff hold an unnoticed meeting with the applicant or another party, other than a governmental agency, to discuss or negotiate a settlement or resolution of one or more substantive issues, including substantive issues regarding data requests or responses.</u>

Section 1718 Shall Be Modified To Read:

Section 1718 Prehearing Staff Meetings; Purposes.

- (a) At any time after a notice or application is filed, the staff may initiate informal, voluntary meetings with the applicant, other parties, and interested agencies, or the public on matters relevant to the notice or application. Such meetings may include workshops, site visits, or other information exchanges.
- (b) All meetings shall be noticed pursuant to Section1710 of these regulations and shall be open to the public, except as provided in subsection (h) of Section 1710. The notice shall list the topics and purposes of the meetings. Where such meetings are intended to discuss social, economic, or other impacts on communities surrounding a proposed site, they shall should be held in or near the communities affected.
- (c) Such mMeetings initiated by staff may be held for any of the following purposes:
- (1) To allow parties to solicit and exchange information relevant to the notice or application;
 - (2) To allow parties to identify areas of factual and legal agreement;
- (3) To allow parties to identify areas of disagreement, to refine issues, and to develop the positions and contentions of the parties: or
- (4) To allow members of the public to recommend areas of inquiry to the parties, to identify issues, and to ask questions of the applicant, staff and parties

MODIFICATIONS TO SITING REGULATIONS PROPOSED BY Staff

concerning each siting proposal, the commission's siting procedures, and possible positions of the parties.

- (d) The public adviser, and in the adviser's absence, the staff counsel, shall ensure that all persons are provided a reasonable opportunity to participate in the discussions at each meeting.
- (e) The presiding member may require the parties to report periodically on the scope, purpose, and progress of such meetings. Any person dissatisfied with the manner in which such meetings are being conducted may petition the presiding member to take remedial action.

Section 1712 Should Not Be Amended

Section 1714.5 Should Not Be Amended